



2016

.
" "

	:
1	1.1
2	2.1
3	3.1
3	4.1
3	5.1
5	6.1
5	7.1
	:
6	1.2
6	2.2
9	3.2
10	4.2
12	5.2
14	6.2
16	7.2

2014

17	8.2
	2014 – 2013
21	9.2
23	10.2
29	11.2
	:
41	1.3
41	2.3
41	3.3
41	4.3
49	5.3
50	6.3
50	1.6.3
50	2.6.3
50	7.3
	:
52	1.4
61	2.4
66	3.4
68	
75	

3	2014	1
16		2
		2014
17		3
18		4
18		5
19		6
19		7
20		8
20		9
21	-2010	10
		2014
42		11
43		12
43		13
44		14
45		15
45		16

46		17
47		18
47		19
48		20
49		21
52		22
55		23
	()
56		24
57)	25
		(
58		26
59		27
60		28
61		29

75

81

86

88

2015

.(250)

(200)

(250)

:

.

Abstract

The unemployment and its relationship to the crime in the Jordanian society from the viewpoint of guests in the reform and rehabilitation centers

Hala alshamaelh

Muta'h university, 2015

This study aimed to identify the relationship between unemployment and the crime from point of view of the guests in the reform repair and rehabilitation centers in the study sample consisting of (250) guests and the number of questioners that restored and get back was (200) out of (250)

To this study . the questionnaire developed to consisting of the relation between an unemployment scale and the Crime. This study found a number of results. There is a relationship between unemployment in general and the crime, according to the point of view of the guests in the reform rehabilitation centers in Jordan society .

the study found that there are no significant differences between the following variables: age, income, educational level, place of living, and the type of crime, according to the point of view of the guests in the reform and rehabilitation centers. the study recommend to not to judge on the relation between.

An unemployment and crime out of the views of the experience owners whom are the guests of the reform and rehabilitation centers.

: 1.1

(2006)

.(2007)

(Quinney, 1977)

(Reckless)

.(2004)

(1933)

(1930)

.(2010)

1948)

(1990 1967

(2002)

1991 1986

(1991 1986)

(1992 1989)

(1991 1990)

1990

(%2,3)

(300)

(35)

(70)

(%27)

1991

%18.8

(%1.5)

1991

.(1992)

)

.(2004

: 2.1

2014

:

(1)

2014

%

7.70

2.64

74.55

10.54

11.14

25.2

(1)

(7.70)

(74.55)

(2.64)

(10.54)

.(2.25)

(11.14)

(74.55)

(2014)

: 3.1

: .1

. .2

. .3

. : 4.1

: .1

. .2

. .3

: 5.1

($\alpha \geq 0,05$) .1

.
($\alpha \geq 0,05$) .2

($\alpha \geq 0,05$) .3

($\alpha \geq 0,05$) .4

($\alpha \geq 0,05$) .5

($\alpha \geq 0,05$) .6

: 6.1

: 7.1

: .1

: .2

. 2015/11/5 2015/5/27

:

1.2

.

.(2004)

:

.(2002)

.(2008)

/

15

.(2010)

"

"

.()

:

2.2

:

.(2010)

(2011)

(ANOMIE)

. (2005)

(2001)

(Sellin)

.(
(pepinskey)

. (pepinskey, 1980)

(clinard)

.(clinard, 1986)

"

. (1987)

.(2010)

. (2000)

.(2008)
(Bonger)

. (Bonger,1963)
1960

. (1960 16)

:

. .1
. .2

:
: .1

: .2

(2004)

. (1986)
(1960)

(57)
. (1979)

.

: 3.2

:
: .1

)

.(2004
: .2

. (1990)

: .3

.(2008)

: -4

.(2003)

: 4.2

: .1

(1976)

(1973-1940)

(%1.4)

(%1)

% 4

.(2006) (%7.5)

: .2

:

: .

.

: .

()

.(1996)

: .3

:

():

.(2001)

: .

1945

1973

(250)

1958

.(2006)

: .4

. (1998)

: 5.2

:
: .1

. (2001)

. (1998)

:

:

:

. (2001)

:

.(1992)

)

(1992

:

:

:

:

(1985)

5/3

:

(1999)

:

(2000)

: 6.2

(2008)

(%19.5)

(1942)

(%31.2)

(%0.7)

(67)

(98)

(1971)

) (%12.55)
(%23)
(2005).

)
(2006).

(2002) :

.1

. .2

.3

.4

:2014

7.2

(862) (2013) (945) (2014 - 2013)
 (%9,64) 2014
 (2014) (1490) (2013) (1401) (2014- 2013)
 . (%6.35)
 (2)

2014

/

1066	16	128	122	86	616	34	64
386	4	57	32	33	215	16	29
296	4	17	48	25	183	6	13
198	4	27	10	13	126	8	10
186	4	27	32	15	92	4	12
482	16	62	44	52	252	11	45
206	6	25	11	30	115	6	13
88	3	10	9	5	44	3	14
66	2	6	3	3	50	0	2
83	5	17	12	9	28	2	10
39	0	4	9	5	15	0	6
549	13	53	48	58	322	14	41
333	4	33	25	37	207	7	20
54	3	2	11	7	20	3	8
46	1	10	1	1	27	2	4
48	3	6	3	4	28	2	2
30	1	2	2	4	19	0	2
38	1	0	6	5	21	0	5
102	6	4	12	16	45	1	18
55	2	4	4	11	22	1	11
16	1	0	1	2	7	0	5
21	3	0	6	1	9	0	2
10	0	0	1	2	7	0	0
87	1	12	9	11	51	1	2
66	1	3	13	12	25	1	11
2352	53	262	248	235	1311	62	181

(2)

(1,66)

(549)

(482)

(102)

(87)

(66)

.2014

:2014 – 2013

8.2

:

.1

(3)

	2014	2013	
- 1.59	62	63	
9.09	12	11	()
30.00	13	10	
- 100.00	0	1	
- 66.67	1	3	()
2.20	93	91	
1.12	181	179	

(3)

(2014-2013)

.()

.2

(4)

2014- 2013		
- 19.30	46	57
- 48.39	16	31
- 29.55	62	180

(4)

.3

(5)

2014- 2013		
8.07	348	322
6.21	547	515
- 38.78	30	29
- 7.39	263	284
2.50	123	120
1.63	1311	1290

(5)

.4

(6)

	2014- 2013	
62.50	13	8
***	0	0
***	0	0
26.14	222	176
27.72	235	184

(6)

.5

(7)

	2014 - 2013	
34.57	218	162
42.86	30	21
35.52	248	183

(7)

.6

(8)

2014- 2013		
- 58.14	36	86
- 34.62	17	26
- 21.88	175	224
- 37.14	22	35
- 50.00	11	22
- 66.67	1	3
- 33.84	262	396

(8)

.7

(9)

2014- 2013		
16.67	21	18
100.00	6	3
85.71	26	14
51.43	53	35
- 0.13	2352	2355

(9)

: 9.2

:

: (2014)

(2014) (%12) .1

(%20.1) (%10.4)

(%0.2) (2014) .2

.(2014)

(0.7) .3

(1.7)

(2014)

(10)

2014

-2010

:

2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
11.8	12.8	11.4	13.1	12.04
12.0	12.6	11.6	13.2	12.2
	14.0	13.1	13.1	13.5
	11.0	12.5	12.1	11.8

:(2014)

.

)			.1
.		(%16.6)	(
.			(%52.8)	
			(%47.2)	
				.2
(%81.9)	(%20.8)			
			.	
(24-20)	(19-15)			.3
.		(%30.8)	(%63.8)	
				.4
.(%9.4)			(%18.8)	
: (2014)				
(%32.2)		15		.5
	(39-20)		(%61.7)	.6
		(%72.6)		
(%36.6)	(%12.0)			.7
			.	
			(%5.1)	.8
(%17.6)				
			(%17.2)	
(%13.8)			(%60)	.9

(%26.8) .10
 .(%14.3)
 (%29.1) .11
 (%16.1)
 (%15.6) (%42.7)
 .
 (%84.0) (%85.9) .12
 . (%95.9)
)
 (
 (%58.2)
 (%10.8)
 (%63.5)
 . (%20.3)
) .13
 .(%23.6)
 15) .14
 (%60.1) (%36.6)
 (%12.4) (%58.9) (%12.7)
 (%35.9)

: 10.2

:

:

:

(1959) (Mills)

.(2008)

.

(1938)

:

.(2004)

:

:

. (2010)

.(2008)

.(2001)
:

) : .1

.(: .2

) .(: .3

) .(: .4

.() : .5

(2008) .

:

() .(2004

()

()

() .(2004

:(Agnew)

:

.1

.2

.3

:

.1

.2

.3

.(2008)

:

(1958)

:

:

: .1

: .2

: .3

: .4

: .5

: .6

.(2008)

: 11.2

:

:

" (2014)

"

(350)

:

- 1

- 2

(2009)

(3.61)

.(0.823)

. (0.823)

(3.62)

(2008

)

:

(2006-1973)

.

(2008)

"

.

" (2005)

"

" (2003)

"

)

)

(

(

(489)

:

(%60.9)

-

.

"

(2003)

"

:

" (2003) "

" " (2003)

:

.1

.(30 18)

.2

() ()

.3

()

:
.1

.2

(126)

.3

.4

.5

(20)

(29)

" (2002)
"

(1999)

(2000)

" (1998)
"

()

(
(463) ()

:

:

" (1997)

"

: (740)

(%84)

(%60)

" (1997)

"

·
:
(Mikeal,2007)

(Becker)

·
(Donis, 2006)

1968)

Donis

(2000-1990)

(Beker

·
(Schuller, 2006)

:
(2004-1988)

(2003-1995)

(Cross-Section Data)

·
" (Allen, 2005)

"
(2002-1992)

:

()

(Lee, 2003)

(Johansen, 1988)

(1972)

(Granger, 1969)

(2001)

(Edmark, 2003)

(1999-1988)

(Nilsson & Agell, 2003)

(2004)

(2000)

(1996)

(%11.9)

%(21.3)

(24)

(%6.8)

(%15)

(%9.4)

" (205)

"

(Chapman, et. al, 2002)

(1999-1989)

(Raphael & Winter, 2000)

(1997-1971)

(%5)

(Kerry, 1998)

(199-1984)

(Small&Lewis,1996)

(Methodology and Data Source)

(R 2)

(Unit Root Test)

(Cointegration Test)

(Allan, 1989)

(%70)

35 (1987)

(20)
68 (1986) (35)

(2000)

(Papps and Winkelmann)

(2005)

(1996)

.

:

.

. : 1.3

.()

: 2.3

:

. (800)

: 3.3

(250)

(100)

(200)

(150)

(50)

(250)

. (200)

: 4.3

:

:

.

(11)

(11)

%	
30.0	60
6.5	13
16.0	32
14.5	29
33.0	66
100.0	200

%30

(11)

(200)

(60)

. %6.5

(13)

%30

:

(12)

(12)

%	
50	100
38.5	77
4.5	9
7	14
100	200

(100)

(%38.5)

%23

%50

(13)

(13)

%	
11	22
26	52
21.5	43
41.5	83
100	200

%26

%41

(14)

.(14)

: .

()

(14)

%	
20.0	40
54.5	109
25.5	51
100.0	200

%54

(15)

(15)

%		
42.0	84	350-190
31.5	63	500-350
26.5	53	500
100.0	200	

(%42)

(16)

.(16)

(16)

%		
%18	36	18-25
%34	68	26-30
%30	60	31-40
%18	36	41
%100	200	

26

(%64)

40

.(17)

(17)

36	0.70	3.35	18-25
68	0.56	3.48	26-30
60	0.69	3.42	31-40
36	0.69	3.60	41
200	0.65	3.46	

3.6

3.35

. 3.46

:

(18)

.(18)

(18)

%	
30	60
6.5	13
16	32
14.5	29
33	66
100	200

%30

%16

%6

%15

%33

.(19)

(19)

:

60	0.68	3.41
13	0.40	3.33
32	0.67	3.53
29	0.74	3.49
66	0.62	3.48
200	0.65	3.46

3.4 3.5 3.49
3.33

(20)

(20)

%	
64.5	129
15.5	31
12.5	25
7.5	15
100	200

%64

%8

.(21)

(21)

129	0.654	3.456
31	0.67	3.418
25	0.662	3.446
15	0.566	3.615
200	0.648	3.461

3.6

. 3.41

: 5.3

(2014)

(2009)

:

) : .1

.(

.

.2

.3

(22-1)

.

:

6.3

.2015/11/5 2015/5/27

:

1.6.3

.

:

2.6.3

(0.815)

:

7.3

:

.1

.

.2

.3

: 1.4

" :

($\alpha \geq 0,05$)

"

(22)

(22)

**0.62	0.77	1.40	3.85	.12	12
0.14	0.76	1.45	3.82	.5	5
**0.59	0.75	1.41	3.77	.18	18
**0.48	0.75	1.37	3.73	08	8
0.09	0.74	1.42	3.69	.2	2
0.10	0.73	1.43	3.67	.3	3
**0.69	0.73	1.38	3.63	.17	17
**0.66	0.73	1.40	3.63	.20	21

**0.56	0.72	1.42	3.58	"	.14	14
**0.61	0.72	1.43	3.58		.15	15
**0.60	0.70	1.44	3.52		.20	20
0.09	0.70	1.41	3.51		.1	1
0.05	0.70	1.49	3.50		.4	4
**0.56	0.70	1.49	3.48		.16	16
**0.59	0.69	1.48	3.45		.22	22
**0.64	0.68	1.42	3.41		.19	19
**0.48	0.68	1.45	3.38		.7	7
**0.56	0.67	1.41	3.33		.13	13
**0.48	0.65	1.47	3.27		.9	9
**0.51	0.65	1.50	3.23		.10	10
**0.42	0.61	1.55	3.06		.6	6
**0.48	0.60	1.62	2.99		.11	11
	0.70	14.41	3.50			

(22)

(%63)

(3.5)

(1.4)

12

ط

8 18 5

(3.85) 12

(3.82) 5

(3.77) 18

.(1.4)

(3.63)

17

" :

$(\alpha \geq 0,05)$

"

.(23)

(23)

)

(

العدد	الانحراف المعياري	الوسط الحسابي	مكان السكن	الحالة الاجتماعية
13	0.57	3.26	بادية	أعزب
25	0.53	3.42	ريف	
22	0.64	3.58	مخيم	
40	0.71	3.35	حضر	
100	0.64	3.41	المجموع	
7	0.55	3.59	بادية	متزوج
22	0.72	3.33	ريف	
13	0.81	3.54	مخيم	
35	0.59	3.73	حضر	
77	0.67	3.57	المجموع	
2	0.35	3.39	ريف	أرمل
5	0.27	3.42	مخيم	
2	0.32	3.14	حضر	
9	0.28	3.35	المجموع	
2	0.51	2.32	بادية	مطلق
3	0.44	3.41	ريف	
3	0.58	3.30	مخيم	
6	0.80	3.63	حضر	
14	0.74	3.32	المجموع	المجموع
22	0.64	3.28	بادية	
52	0.60	3.38	ريف	
43	0.65	3.53	مخيم	
83	0.68	3.52	حضر	
200	0.65	3.46	المجموع	

3.35

3.26

3.41

3.59

3.72

3.39

3.42

2.3

3.6

3.38

3.2

(24)

(24)

F				
0.188	1.612	321.314	3	963.9
0.190	1.602	319.418	3	3958.
0.245	1.302	259.577	8	2076.6
		199.326	185	336875.
			199	40488.6

()

(24)

" :

($\alpha \geq 0,05$)

"

.(25)

(25)

: ()

18	0.61	3.38	190-350
16	0.55	3.34	350-500
6	1.15	3.83	500
40	0.69	3.43	
37	0.57	3.52	190-350
36	0.74	3.47	350-500
36	0.61	3.55	500
109	0.64	3.52	
29	0.72	3.39	190-350
11	0.58	3.40	350-500
11	0.44	3.26	500
51	0.64	3.37	
84	0.63	3.45	190-350
63	0.67	3.43	350-500
53	0.67	3.52	500
200	0.65	3.46	

3.4

3.8

3.4

. (26)

(26)

:

F	
0.3580	1.034
0.6170	0.4850
0.6010	0.6880
	0.2930
	0.4260
	191
	199
0.4410	2
0.2070	2
0.8810	0.4130
1.174	*
81.415	
83.654	

(26)

$(\alpha \geq 0,05)$

" :

"

(27)

(27)

F				
0.394	1.001	.421	3	1.262
		.420	196	82.392
			199	83.654

(27)

$(\alpha \geq 0,05)$

$(\alpha \geq 0,05)$

" :

"

.(28)

(28)

:

F				
0.8560	0.332	0.1420	4	0.566
		0.4260	195	83.088
			199	83.654

(28)

$(\alpha \geq 0,05)$

$(\alpha \geq 0,05)$

":

"

.(29)

(29)

:

F	
0.8020	0.3330
0.1410	3
0.4250	196
	199
	0.4240
	83.230
	83.654

(29)

($\alpha \geq 0,05$)

: 2.4

.1

:

(2009)

(2008)

(1998)

(2005)

(%60,9)

(2003)

(2003)

(2008)

)

(2002

(1997)

(%84)

(2003)

(2014)

)

(2000

(2003)

(2003)

(2006)

(Nilsson & Agell, 2003)

(Allen, 2005)

)

(2002

(Schuller, 2006)

(1987)

(Papps and Winkelmann)

(Mikeal,2007)

(Lee, 2003)

(Edmark, 2003)

(Chapman, et. al, 2002)

(Raphael & Winter, 2000)

(Kerry, 1998)

(Small&Lewis,1996)

(Allan, 1989)

.2

(2003)

.3

(2008)

(2002)

(2000)

.4

(2003)

.5

:

(Nilsson & Agell, 2003)

(Allen, 2005)

(Papps and Winkelmann)

(Lee, 2003)

(Edmark, 2003)

(Raphael & Winter, 2000)

(Kerry, 1998)

.6

(2002)

()

()

:

: 3.4

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

:

-

.(1987)

.(1997)

.(1996)

(2014)

.(2014)

5

(2005)

.(2000)

(2005)

.(2014)

.(2) (7)

.(2003)

.(2009)

.(2004)

. (2010)

.(2003)

:

<http://www.creativity.ps/library/datanew/cre6/66.pdf>

.(2000)

.(2006)

.(1996)

19

(2008)

—

. (2000)

(41) (1)

2

.(1979)

(2008)

Wttps://books.google.com/books?j9=

(2005)

(2003)

<http://repository.nauss.edu.sa/bitstream/handle/123456789/5297>

[0/%D8%A7%D9%84%D8](http://repository.nauss.edu.sa/bitstream/handle/123456789/5297/0/%D8%A7%D9%84%D8)

(2003)

(2008)

(2008)

(2004)

(2004)

(2007)

.(1998)

file:///C:/Users/MW/Downloads/EB_194.pdf :

.(2001)

1 2 44

2006

2010

.(2008)

<http://WWW.Mosd.gov.jo/?option=com> :

.(2003)

:

.(1999)

– (1990)

.(2001)

:
.

(2004)

"

(2004)

"

(2008)

- -

(2001)

-

(2010)

-

.(1992)

.(2001)

.(2012)

.1960 (16)

.(1997)

. 1986

(2002)

- Allen, R. (2005), “**Socioeconomic Conditions and Property Crime: A Comprehensive and Test of the Professional Literature**”, *American Journal of Economics and Sociology*, 55: 293-308
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1536-7150.1996.tb02311.x/references>
- B.ckapman, D.weather burn,c.A.Kapusciuski,m.chiliversaud s. 2002
Roussel" `unemploy meut duration,school ling and property crime B74number74 December.
- Bonger,G. ,S., William, 1963, **The criminal products of capitalist System-Criminology**, Clyd, B, Vedder,Ahlotdren Book, Newyork.
- Clinard, M., 1968, **Sociology of Deviant Behavior 2nd** ed., NY,Winston
- . Donis Fougère (2006), “**Youth Unemployment and Crime in France**”,Discussion paper No. 5600, Centre of Economic Policy Research, UK.
http://www.crest.fr/ckfinder/userfiles/files/Pageperso/fougere/fougere_fichiers/JEEA_2009_7_5_909.pdf
- Edmark, K. (2003), “**The Effects of Unemployment on Property Crime: Evidence from a Period of Unusually Large Swings in the Business Cycle**”, http://www.nek.uu.se/pdf/wp2003_14.pdf
- Kerry Papps & Winkelman Raiber. (1998). “**Unemployment and Crime: New Answer to an Old Question**”. IZA, Discussion Paper No. 25
- Lee.D. Y. (2003) **Income Inequality and crime Shippensburg** University, Germany.
- Mikeal, Priks and Panu Poutaara, (2007), “**Unemployment and Gangs Crime, Could Prosperity backfire?**” discussion paper 13, Center for Economic and Business Research
<https://epub.ub.uni-muenchen.de/19820/>
- Nilsson. A. & Agell. J. (2003) **Crime and unemployment in turbulent times"** Department of Economics, Stockholm University, Work in Progress. Swedin.
- Pepinsky, Harold, 1980, **Crime Control Strategies** Oxford Univ Press, N,Y
- Papps, K. and Winkelmann, R. (2007), “**Unemployment and Crime: New Evidence for an Old Question**”, *New Zealand Economic Papers*, 34: 53-72. <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00779950009544315>

Raphael, S. & Winter-Ebmer, R. (2001), "Identifying the Effect of Unemployment on Crime", **Journal of Law and Economics**, 44:259-283

Schuller, B. (2006), "**Ekonomi och Kriminalitet- en Empirisk Undersökning av Brottsligheten I Sverige**", Doktorsavhandling, Nationalekonomiska Institutionen, Göteborgs Uuniversitet.

()

:"

1

(- 41)-4 (40- 31)-3 (30- 26) -2 (25-18) -1

2

-4 -3 -2 -1

3

-4 -3 -2 -1

4

-4 -3 -2 -1

5

-3 -2 -1

7

-3 -2 -1

8

-3 -2 -1

7

(- 500)-3 (500- 350)-2 (350- 190)-1

9

-4 -3 -2 -1

-10
(.....)
-11
-3 -2 -1

		1
		2
		3
		4
		5
		6
		7
		8
		9
		10

					11
					12
					13
					14
					15
					16

					17
					18
					19
					20
					21
					22
					23
					24
					25
					26
					27

()

"

"

.

:

(X)

				1
(- 41)-4	(40- 31)-3	(30- 26) -2	(25-18) -2
				2
		-4	-3	-2
				3
	-4	-3	-2	-1
				4
	-4	-3	-2	-1
				5
		-3	-2	-2
				.6
(- 500)-3	(500- 350)-2	(350- 190)-1	
				7
	-4	-3	-2	.1
				.8
				(.....)
				.9
		-3	-2	-2

:

(x)

					.1
					.2
					.3
					.4
					.5
					.6
					.7
					.8
					.9
					.10
					.11
					.12

					.13 " "
					" .14
					. 15
					. 16
					. 17
					.18 "
					.19
					. 20
					. 21
					. 22

()

()

:
:
:
2016 :